## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	V. Javel McElrath  Defendant	Case No. 1:14-cr-00215-GJQ		
	ofter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, a efendant be detained pending trial.	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
Part I – Findings of Fact				
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.  a federal offense a state or local offense that would existed – that is			
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), which the prison term is 10 years or more.	or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or l	ife imprisonment.		
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years	or more is prescribed in:		
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convict U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local of			
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:  a minor victim  the possession or use of a firearm or destruction	ctive device or any other dangerous weapon		
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250			
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the d or local offense.	efendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the date offense described in finding (1).	of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that person or the community. I further find that defendant has not			
	Alternative Finding	s (A)		
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has com	mitted an offense		
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	prescribed in:*		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the saf			
	Alternative Finding	s (B)		
<b>√</b> (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safe	ety of another person or the community.		
Part II – Statement of the Reasons for Detention				
I find that the testingon, and information as builted at the detaction begins established by / clear and equipping				

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:

- 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time.
- 2. Defendant is subject to a hold/detainer and would not be released in any case.
- 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	November 21, 2014	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge